arXiv:1611.00477v1 [math.RT] 2 Nov 2016

Phantom Ideals and Cotorsion Pairs in Extriangulated Categories *†

Tiwei Zhao‡, Zhaoyong Huang§

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, Jiangsu Province, P.R. China

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and study relative phantom morphisms in extriangulated categories defined by Nakaoka and Palu. Then using their properties, we show that if $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category with enough injective objects and projective objects, then there exists a bijective correspondence between any two of the following classes: (1) special precovering ideals of $\mathscr{C}$; (2) special preenveloping ideals of $\mathscr{C}$; (3) additive subfunctors of $\mathbb{E}$ having enough special injective morphisms; and (4) additive subfunctors of $\mathbb{E}$ having enough special projective morphisms. Moreover, we show that if $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category with enough injective objects and projective morphisms, then there exists a bijective correspondence between the following two classes: (1) all object-special precovering ideals of $\mathscr{C}$; (2) all additive subfunctors of $\mathbb{E}$ having enough special injective objects.

1 Introduction

Approximation theory is the main part of relative homological algebra and its starting point is to approximate arbitrary objects by a class of suitable subcategories. In these subcategories, a special kind of subcategories provides a fruitful context to the development of relative homological algebra, that is, the subcategories $\mathscr{C}$ for which every object admits a (special) $\mathscr{C}$-precover. In this aspect, a lot of achievements have been scored, for example, the existence of projective precovers, flat covers and pure projective precovers, and so on.

On the other hand, the phantom ideal plays an important role in the aspect of providing a certain ideal cotorsion pair, and it has been investigated in algebraic topology [8], stable homotopy categories of spectra [1], triangulated categories [5, 10], and stable categories of finite group rings [2, 3, 4]. In particular, Herzog generalized in [7] the phantom morphism to the category of left R-modules of arbitrary associative ring R in the following way: a morphism $f : M \to N$ of left R-modules is called a *phantom morphism* if the natural transformation $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(-, f) : \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(-, M) \to \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(-, N)$ is zero, or equivalently, the pullback of any short exact sequence along f is pure exact. Then he showed that every module admits a phantom cover. As a generalization of the (classical) approximation theory for subcategories, Fu, Guil Asensio,

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18G25, 16E30, 18E40.

†Keywords: Phantom ideals; Cotorsion pairs; Extriangulated categories; (Co)phantom morphisms; Special precovering ideals; Special preenveloping ideals.

‡E-mail address: tiweizhao@hotmail.com

§E-mail address: huangzy@nju.edu.cn

Herzog and Torrecillas developed in [6] the approximation theory of an exact category $\mathscr{A}$ for ideal cotorsion pairs. A careful look reveals that the essentially necessary matters in [6] are pullbacks and pushouts, that is, some special operations of functors. So this inspires us to establish the approximation theory in an additive category equipped with an additive bifunctor; in particular, we consider it in extriangulated categories introduced by Nakaoka and Palu [9].

This paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we give some terminology and some preliminary results.

In Section 3, we first introduce the notion of relative phantom morphisms in an additive category, and then extend it to an extriangulated category. We study the relationship between relative phantom morphisms and relative injective morphisms, and give a sufficient condition such that they form a relative cotorsion pair.

In Section 4, we mainly discuss the role of phantom operations, and use it to investigate the interplay among special precovering ideals, special preenveloping ideals, additive subfunctors having enough special injective morphisms, and additive subfunctors having enough special projective morphisms. We show that if $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category with enough injective objects and projective objects, then we have the following bijective correspondences.



Here $F = (-)^\star \circ {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(-) \circ \mathbf{Coph}(-)$ and $G = (-)_\star \circ (-)^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}} \circ \mathbf{Ph}(-)$, see Section 3 for the definitions of these functors.

In Section 5, we consider object-special precovering ideals, and show that if $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category with enough injective objects and projective morphisms, then we have the following bijective correspondence.


all object-special precovering ideals of $\mathscr{C}$
$(-)^\star$
$\mathbf{Ph}(-)$
all additive subfunctors of $\mathbb{E}$ having enough special injective objects

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, $\mathscr{C}$ is an additive category and $\mathbb{E} : \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathscr{C} \to \mathfrak{A}b$ is a biadditive functor, where $\mathfrak{A}b$ is the category of abelian groups.

2.1 $\mathbb{E}$-extensions

Definition 2.1. ([9, Definition 2.1,2.5]) For any $A, C \in \mathscr{C}$, there is a corresponding abelian group $\mathbb{E}(C, A)$.

(1) An element $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ is called an $\mathbb{E}$-*extension*. More formally, an $\mathbb{E}$-extension is a triple (A, δ, C).

(2) The zero element 0 in $\mathbb{E}(C, A)$ is called the *split* $\mathbb{E}$-*extension*.

Let $a \in \mathscr{C}(A, A')$ and $c \in \mathscr{C}(C', C)$. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{E}(C, A) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}(C,a)} & \mathbb{E}(C, A') \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathbb{E}(c,A)} & \searrow^{\mathbb{E}(c,a)} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathbb{E}(c,A')} \\ \mathbb{E}(C', A) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{E}(C',a)} & \mathbb{E}(C', A') \end{array}$$

in $\mathfrak{Ab}$. For an $\mathbb{E}$-extension (A, δ, C), we briefly write $a_\star\delta := \mathbb{E}(C, a)(\delta)$ and $c^\star\delta := \mathbb{E}(c, A)(\delta)$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}(c, a)(\delta) = c^\star a_\star \delta = a_\star c^\star \delta.$$

Definition 2.2. ([9, Definition 2.3]) Given two $\mathbb{E}$-extensions (A, δ, C) and (A', δ', C'). A *morphism* from δ to δ' is a pair (a, c) of morphisms, where $a \in \mathscr{C}(A, A')$ and $c \in \mathscr{C}(C, C')$, such that $a_\star\delta = c^\star\delta$. In this case, we denote it by $(a, c) : \delta \to \delta'$.

Now let $A, C \in \mathscr{C}$. Two sequences of morphisms

$$A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \ \text{ and } \ A \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C$$

are said to be *equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism $b \in \mathscr{C}(B, B')$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C \\ \| & & \cong\downarrow{\scriptstyle b} & & \| \\ A & \xrightarrow{x'} & B' & \xrightarrow{y'} & C \end{array}$$

commutes. We denote by $[\ A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C\ ]$ the equivalence class of $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$. In particular, we write $0 := [\ A \xrightarrow{\binom{1}{0}} A \oplus C \xrightarrow{(0\ 1)} C\ ]$.

Note that, for any pair $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta' \in \mathbb{E}(C', A')$, since $\mathbb{E}$ is biadditive, there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\mathbb{E}(C \oplus C', A \oplus A') \cong \mathbb{E}(C, A) \oplus \mathbb{E}(C, A') \oplus \mathbb{E}(C', A) \oplus \mathbb{E}(C', A').$$

We define the symbol $\delta \oplus \delta'$ to be the element in $\mathbb{E}(C \oplus C', A \oplus A')$ corresponding to the element $(\delta, 0, 0, \delta')$ in $\mathbb{E}(C, A) \oplus \mathbb{E}(C, A') \oplus \mathbb{E}(C', A) \oplus \mathbb{E}(C', A')$ through the above isomorphism.

Definition 2.3. ([9, Definition 2.9]) Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be a correspondence which associates an equivalence class $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [\ A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C\ ]$ to each $\mathbb{E}$-extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$. The $\mathfrak{s}$ is called a *realization* of $\mathbb{E}$ provided that it satisfies the following condition.

(R) Let $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta' \in \mathbb{E}(C', A')$ be any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-extensions with

$$\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [\ A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C\ ] \text{ and } \mathfrak{s}(\delta') = [\ A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C'\ ].$$

Then for any morphism $(a, c) : \delta \to \delta'$, there exists $b \in \mathscr{C}(B, B')$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c} \\ A' & \xrightarrow{x'} & B' & \xrightarrow{y'} & C' \end{array}$$

commutes.

Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be a realization of $\mathbb{E}$. If $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [\ A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C\ ]$ for some $\mathbb{E}$-extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$, then we say that the sequence $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ *realizes* δ; and in the condition (R), we say that the triple (a, b, c) *realizes* the morphism (a, c).

Remark 2.4. Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be a realization of $\mathbb{E}$, and let $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ be an $\mathbb{E}$-extension with $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [\ A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C\ ]$.

(1) For any $a \in \mathscr{C}(A, A')$, since $a_\star\delta = \mathrm{id}_C{}^\star a_\star\delta$, there exists a morphism $(a, \mathrm{id}_C) : \delta \to a_\star\delta$. Assume that

$$\mathfrak{s}(a_\star\delta) = [\ A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C\ ].$$

Then by the condition (R), there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow & & \| \\ A' & \xrightarrow{x'} & B' & \xrightarrow{y'} & C. \end{array}$$

(2) For each $c \in \mathscr{C}(C', C)$, since $\mathrm{id}_{A\star}c^\star\delta = c^\star\delta$, there exists a morphism $(\mathrm{id}_A, c) : c^\star\delta \to \delta$. Assume that

$$\mathfrak{s}(c^\star\delta) = [\ A \xrightarrow{x''} B'' \xrightarrow{y''} C'\ ].$$

Then by the condition (R), there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x''} & B'' & \xrightarrow{y''} & C' \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c} \\ A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C. \end{array}$$

For any two equivalence classes $[\ A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C\ ]$ and $[\ A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C'\ ]$, we define

$$[\ A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C\ ] \oplus [\ A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C'\ ] := [\ A \oplus A' \xrightarrow{x\oplus x'} B \oplus B' \xrightarrow{y\oplus y'} C \oplus C'\ ].$$

Definition 2.5. ([9, Definition 2.10]) A realization $\mathfrak{s}$ of $\mathbb{E}$ is called *additive* if it satisfies the following conditions.

(1) For any $A, C \in \mathscr{C}$, the split $\mathbb{E}$-extension $0 \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ satisfies $\mathfrak{s}(0) = 0$.

(2) For any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-extensions $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta' \in \mathbb{E}(C', A')$, we have $\mathfrak{s}(\delta \oplus \delta') = \mathfrak{s}(\delta) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\delta')$.

Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be an additive realization of $\mathbb{E}$. By [9, Remark 2.11], we have that if the sequence $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ realizes 0 in $\mathbb{E}(C, A)$, then x is a section and y is a retraction.

2.2 Externally triangulated categories

Definition 2.6. ([9, Definition 2.12]) Let $\mathscr{C}$ be an additive category. We call the triple $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ an *externally triangulated category* (or *extriangulated category* for short) if it satisfies the following conditions.

(ET1) $\mathbb{E} : \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathscr{C} \to \mathfrak{Ab}$ is a biadditive functor.

(ET2) $\mathfrak{s}$ is an additive realization of $\mathbb{E}$.

(ET3) Let $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta' \in \mathbb{E}(C', A')$ be any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-extensions with

$$\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [\ A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C\ ] \text{ and } \mathfrak{s}(\delta') = [\ A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C'\ ].$$

For any commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} & & \\ A' & \xrightarrow{x'} & B' & \xrightarrow{y'} & C' \end{array}$$

in $\mathscr{C}$, there exists a morphism $(a, c) : \delta \to \delta'$ which is realized by the triple (a, b, c).

(ET3)$^{\mathrm{op}}$ Let $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\delta' \in \mathbb{E}(C', A')$ be any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-extensions with

$$\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [\ A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C\ ] \text{ and } \mathfrak{s}(\delta') = [\ A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C'\ ].$$

For any commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c} \\ A' & \xrightarrow{x'} & B' & \xrightarrow{y'} & C' \end{array}$$

in $\mathscr{C}$, there exists a morphism $(a, c) : \delta \to \delta'$ which is realized by the triple (a, b, c).

(ET4) Let $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{E}(F, B)$ be any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-extensions with

$$\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [\ A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C\ ] \text{ and } \mathfrak{s}(\rho) = [\ B \xrightarrow{u} D \xrightarrow{v} F\ ].$$

Then there exist an object $E \in \mathscr{C}$, an $\mathbb{E}$-extension ξ with $\mathfrak{s}(\xi) = [\ A \xrightarrow{z} D \xrightarrow{w} E\ ]$, and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s} \\ A & \xrightarrow{z} & D & \xrightarrow{w} & E \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle t} \\ & & F & = & F \end{array}$$

in $\mathscr{C}$, which satisfy the following compatibilities.

(i) $\mathfrak{s}(y_\star\rho) = [\ C \xrightarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{t} F\ ]$.

(ii) $s^\star\xi = \delta$.

(iii) $x_\star\xi = t^\star\rho$.

(ET4)$^{\mathrm{op}}$ Let $\eta \in \mathbb{E}(E, A)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{E}(F, C)$ be any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-extensions with

$$\mathfrak{s}(\eta) = [\ A \xrightarrow{z} D \xrightarrow{w} E\ ] \text{ and } \mathfrak{s}(\xi) = [\ C \xrightarrow{s} E \xrightarrow{t} F\ ].$$

Then there exist an object $B \in \mathscr{C}$, an $\mathbb{E}$-extension θ with $\mathfrak{s}(\theta) = [\ B \xrightarrow{u} D \xrightarrow{v} F\ ]$, and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s} \\ A & \xrightarrow{z} & D & \xrightarrow{w} & E \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle v} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle t} \\ & & F & = & F \end{array}$$

in $\mathscr{C}$ satisfying the following compatibilities.

(i) $\mathfrak{s}(s^\star\eta) = [\ A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C\ ]$.

(ii) $y_\star\theta = \xi$.

(iii) $x_\star\eta = t^\star\theta$.

Definition 2.7. ([9, Definition 2.19]) Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be a triple satisfying (ET1) and (ET2).

(1) If a sequence $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C$ realizes an $\mathbb{E}$-extension $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C, A)$, then we call the pair $(\ A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C\ , \delta)$ an $\mathbb{E}$-*triangle*, and write it in the following way

$$A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}.$$

In this case, x is called an $\mathbb{E}$-*inflation*, and y is called an $\mathbb{E}$-*deflation*.

(2) Let $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ and $A' \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} C' \overset{\delta'}{\dashrightarrow}$ be any pair of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles. If a triple (a, b, c) realizes $(a, c) : \delta \to \delta'$ as in the condition (R), then we write it as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c} \\ A' & \xrightarrow{x'} & B' & \xrightarrow{y'} & C' \overset{\delta'}{\dashrightarrow} \end{array},$$

and call the triple (a, b, c) a *morphism of* $\mathbb{E}$*-triangles*.

We remark that both exact categories and triangulated categories are extriangulated categories ([9, Example 2.13]) and extension-closed subcategories of extriangulated categories are again extriangulated ([9, Remark 2.18]). Moreover, there exist extriangulated categories which are neither exact categories nor triangulated categories ([9, Proposition 3.30] and [11, Remark 4.11]).

Remark 2.8. Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be a triple satisfying (ET1) and (ET2), and let $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ be an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle.

(1) For any $a \in \mathscr{C}(A, A')$, there exists a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle a} & & \downarrow & & \| \\ A' & \xrightarrow{x'} & B' & \xrightarrow{y'} & C \overset{a_\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} \end{array}.$$

(2) For any $c \in \mathscr{C}(C', C)$, there exists a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x'} & B' & \xrightarrow{y'} & C' \overset{c^\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c} \\ A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} \end{array}.$$

We introduce the following

Definition 2.9. Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be a triple satisfying (ET1) and (ET2). An object $E \in \mathscr{C}$ is said to be *injective* if for any $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ and each morphism $e \in \mathscr{C}(A, E)$, there exists $b \in \mathscr{C}(B, E)$ such that $e = bx$.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be a triple satisfying (ET1), (ET2) and (ET3). Then the following statements are equivalent for an object $E \in \mathscr{C}$.*

(1) E is injective.

(2) $\mathbb{E}(C, E) = 0$ for any $C \in \mathscr{C}$.

(3) Any $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $E \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ splits.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C,E)$ and $\mathfrak{s}(\delta) = [\ E \xrightarrow{e} B \xrightarrow{y} C\ ]$. Since E is injective by (1), there exists $b \in \mathscr{C}(B,E)$ such that $be = \mathrm{id}_E$; that is, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E & \xrightarrow{e} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}_E}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} & & & & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_E} & E & \longrightarrow & 0 & \overset{0}{\dashrightarrow} & . \end{array}$$

By (ET3), we get a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E & \xrightarrow{e} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}_E}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 0} & & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_E} & E & \longrightarrow & 0 & \overset{0}{\dashrightarrow} & . \end{array}$$

Thus we have $\delta = 0^\star 0 = 0$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) It is trivial.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ be any $\mathbb{E}$-triangle. Then for any $a \in \mathscr{C}(A,E)$, there exists a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ {\scriptstyle a}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} & & \| & & \\ E & \xrightarrow{x'} & B' & \xrightarrow{y'} & C & \overset{a_\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & . \end{array}$$

By assumption, the bottom $\mathbb{E}$-triangle splits, and hence there exists $b' \in \mathscr{C}(B',E)$ such that $b'x' = \mathrm{id}_E$. Thus we have that $(b'b)x = b'x'a = a$ and E is injective. □

Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be a triple satisfying (ET1) and (ET2). We call that it has *enough injective objects* if for any $A \in \mathscr{C}$, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{x} E \xrightarrow{y} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ with E an injective object.

3 Phantom morphisms

3.1 Phantom morphisms in additive categories

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an additive subfunctor of $\mathbb{E}$ and $\varphi \in \mathscr{C}(X,C)$. We call φ an $\mathbb{F}$-*phantom morphism* if $\varphi^\star\delta \in \mathbb{F}(X,A)$ for any $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C,A)$. Dually, let $\psi \in \mathscr{C}(A,Y)$. We call ψ an $\mathbb{F}$-*cophantom morphism* if $\psi_\star\delta \in \mathbb{F}(C,Y)$ for any $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C,A)$.

We denote by $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$ and $\mathbf{Coph}(\mathbb{F})$ the classes of $\mathbb{F}$-phantom and $\mathbb{F}$-cophantom morphisms respectively. In this paper, we only discuss the properties of $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphisms in most cases, but we need to keep in mind that the dual results hold true for $\mathbb{F}$-cophantom morphisms, and we will directly use it if necessary.

We first note that $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$ is an ideal. Indeed, let $\varphi \in \mathscr{C}(X,C)$ be an $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphism. If $f \in \mathscr{C}(X',X)$, then for any $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C,A)$, we have $(\varphi f)^\star\delta = f^\star(\varphi^\star\delta)$. Since $\varphi^\star\delta \in \mathbb{F}(X,A)$, we have $(\varphi f)^\star\delta \in \mathbb{F}(X',A)$, and hence φf is an $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphism. Similarly, let $g \in \mathscr{C}(A,A')$, by the equality $(g\varphi)^\star\delta = \varphi^\star(g^\star\delta)$, we have $g\varphi$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphism. Moreover, if $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in$

$\mathscr{C}(X,C)$ are $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphisms, then by the equality $(\varphi_1+\varphi_2)^\star\delta = \varphi_1{}^\star\delta + \varphi_2{}^\star\delta$, we have that $\varphi_1+\varphi_2$ is also an $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphism. Therefore $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$ is an ideal.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal of $\mathscr{C}$. We write

$$\mathcal{I}^\star := \{i^\star\delta \mid i \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and } \delta \text{ is any } \mathbb{E}\text{-extension}\},$$

$$\mathcal{I}_\star := \{i_\star\delta \mid i \in \mathcal{I} \text{ and } \delta \text{ is any } \mathbb{E}\text{-extension}\}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *$\mathcal{I}^\star$ is a minimal additive subfunctor of $\mathbb{E}$ for which $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^\star)$.*

Proof. We first prove that $\mathcal{I}^\star$ is an additive subfunctor of $\mathbb{E}$. Let $\varphi \in \mathscr{C}(X,C)$ and $\delta \in \mathcal{I}^\star(C,A)$, that is, there exist $i \in \mathcal{I}(C,C')$ and $\delta' \in \mathbb{E}(C',A)$ such that $\delta = i^\star\delta'$. Then $\varphi^\star\delta = \varphi^\star i^\star\delta' = (i\varphi)^\star\delta'$. Since $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of $\mathscr{C}$, we have $i\varphi \in \mathcal{I}(X,C')$, and hence $\varphi^\star\delta \in \mathcal{I}^\star(X,A)$. Similarly, for $\psi \in \mathscr{C}(A,Y)$, by the equalities $\psi_\star\delta = \psi_\star i^\star\delta' = i^\star\psi_\star\delta'$, we have $\psi_\star\delta \in \mathcal{I}^\star(C,Y)$. The additivity of $\mathcal{I}^\star$ is induced by that of $\mathbb{E}$.

Next we prove the minimality about the property $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^\star)$. Let $\mathbb{F}$ be any additive subfunctor of $\mathbb{E}$ satisfying $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$. Let $\delta \in \mathcal{I}^\star(X,A)$, that is, there exist $i \in \mathcal{I}(X,C)$ and $\delta' \in \mathbb{E}(C,A)$ such that $\delta = i^\star\delta'$. Since $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$, we have $i \in \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$, and hence $\delta = i^\star\delta' \in \mathbb{F}(X,A)$. This means that $\mathcal{I}^\star \subseteq \mathbb{F}$. □

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a class of morphisms in $\mathscr{C}$. We write

$$\mathcal{M}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}} := \{g \in \operatorname{Mor}\mathscr{C} \mid m^\star g_\star\delta = 0 \text{ for any } m \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and any } \mathbb{E}\text{-extension } \delta\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{M}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ is an ideal of $\mathscr{C}$. Indeed, if $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$, then for any $m \in \mathcal{M}$ and any $\mathbb{E}$-extension δ, we have $m^\star(g_1+g_2)_\star\delta = m^\star g_{1\star}\delta + m^\star g_{2\star}\delta = 0$, which means that $g_1+g_2 \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. Let $g \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ and $h \in \operatorname{Mor}\mathscr{C}$ such that hg is defined. Then $m^\star(hg)_\star\delta = m^\star h_\star g_\star\delta = h_\star m^\star g_\star\delta = 0$ implies that $hg \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. Similarly, if gk is defined for $k \in \operatorname{Mor}\mathscr{C}$, then $gk \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. Therefore $\mathcal{M}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ is an ideal of $\mathscr{C}$.

Dually, we write

$${}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}\mathcal{M} := \{g \in \operatorname{Mor}\mathscr{C} \mid g^\star m_\star\delta = 0 \text{ for any } m \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and any } \mathbb{E}\text{-extension } \delta\}.$$

Definition 3.3. Let $f \in \mathscr{C}(X,C)$ and $g \in \mathscr{C}(A,Y)$. The pair (f,g) is said to be $\mathbb{E}$-*orthogonal* if $f^\star g_\star\delta = 0$ (or equivalently, $g_\star f^\star\delta = 0$) for any $\delta \in \mathbb{E}(C,A)$.

We write

$$\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj} := \{i \in \operatorname{Mor}\mathscr{C} \mid i_\star\delta = 0 \text{ for each } \mathbb{F}\text{-extension } \delta\},$$

and call the elements in $\mathbb{F}$-**inj** $\mathbb{F}$-*injective morphisms*. Dually, we write

$$\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{proj} := \{i \in \operatorname{Mor}\mathscr{C} \mid i^\star\delta = 0 \text{ for each } \mathbb{F}\text{-extension } \delta\},$$

and call the elements in $\mathbb{F}$-**proj** $\mathbb{F}$-*projective morphisms*.

Proposition 3.4.

(1) The pair $(\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F}), \mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$ *is* $\mathbb{E}$*-orthogonal.*

(2) Let $\mathcal{I}$ *be an ideal of* $\mathscr{C}$*. Then* $\mathcal{I}^{\star}\text{-}\mathbf{inj} = \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$*.*

Proof. (1) It is clear.

(2) Let $j \in \mathcal{I}^{\star}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}$. For any $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and any $\mathbb{E}$-extension δ, we have that $i^{\star}\delta$ is an $\mathcal{I}^{\star}$-extension. So $i^{\star}j_{\star}\delta = j_{\star}i^{\star}\delta = 0$ and $j \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. Conversely, let $j \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. For any $\mathcal{I}^{\star}$-extension δ, there exist $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and an $\mathbb{E}$-extension δ' such that $\delta = i^{\star}\delta'$. So $j_{\star}\delta = j_{\star}i^{\star}\delta' = i^{\star}j_{\star}\delta' = 0$ and $j \in \mathcal{I}^{\star}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}$. □

3.2 Phantom morphisms in extriangulated categories

Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be a triple satisfying (ET1) and (ET2), and let $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ be any $\mathbb{E}$-triangle. Then for a morphism $\varphi \in \mathscr{C}(X, C)$, there exists a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{x'} & B' & \xrightarrow{y'} & X & \overset{\varphi^{\star}\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & . \end{array}$$

We easily see that $\varphi \in \mathscr{C}(X, C)$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphism if and only if every $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{x'} B' \xrightarrow{y'} X \overset{\varphi^{\star}\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ induced by the above is an $\mathbb{F}$-triangle.

Now let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be a triple satisfying (ET1), (ET2) and $(\text{ET3})^{\text{op}}$, and let $A \xrightarrow{x} B \xrightarrow{y} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ be any $\mathbb{E}$-triangle and $\varphi \in \mathscr{C}(X, C)$ an $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphism. For any $\mathbb{F}$-projective morphism $p \in \mathscr{C}(P, X)$, we have a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & P & \overset{p^{\star}\varphi^{\star}\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{x'} & B' & \xrightarrow{y'} & X & \overset{\varphi^{\star}\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & . \end{array}$$

Since $\varphi^{\star}\delta$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-extension and p is an $\mathbb{F}$-projective morphism, we have $p^{\star}\varphi^{\star}\delta = 0$, and hence there exists $p' \in \mathscr{C}(P, B')$ such that $p = y'p'$. Then $ygp' = \varphi y'p' = \varphi p$ and we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_P} & P & \overset{0}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle gp'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi p} & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & . \end{array}$$

By $(\text{ET3})^{\text{op}}$, we have a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_P} & P & \overset{0}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle gp'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi p} & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & . \end{array}$$

In particular, $(\varphi p)^{\star}\delta = 0$, that is, the composition $P \xrightarrow{p} X \xrightarrow{\varphi} C$ is an $\mathbb{E}$-projective morphism.

Therefore, if we consider the stable category $\underline{(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})} := (\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})/\mathbb{E}\text{-}\mathbf{proj}$, where the objects in $\underline{(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})}$ are the objects in $\mathscr{C}$, and for any $X, Y \in \mathscr{C}$, the morphism set $\mathrm{Hom}(X, Y)$ in

$\underline{(\mathscr{C},\mathbb{E},\mathfrak{s})}$ are the morphism set $\mathscr{C}(X,Y)/\mathbb{E}$-**proj**, then $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphisms make $\mathbb{F}$-projective morphisms vanish in $\underline{(\mathscr{C},\mathbb{E},\mathfrak{s})}$. This is also why we call these morphisms "$\mathbb{F}$-phantom" on some level.

Definition 3.5. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal of $\mathscr{C}$ and $C \in \mathscr{C}$.

(1) An *$\mathcal{I}$-precover* of C is a morphism $i : X \to C$ in $\mathcal{I}$ such that any morphism $i' : X' \to C$ in $\mathcal{I}$ factors through i, that is, there exists a morphism $g : X' \to X$ such that $i' = ig$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X' \\ & {\scriptstyle g}\swarrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i'} \\ X & \xrightarrow{i} & C. \end{array}$$

(2) Let $(\mathscr{C},\mathbb{E},\mathfrak{s})$ be a triple satisfying (ET1) and (ET2). A morphism $i : X \to C$ in $\mathcal{I}$ is called a *special $\mathcal{I}$-precover* of C if there exists a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ {\scriptstyle j}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\ A' & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{i} & C & \overset{\delta'}{\dashrightarrow} & \end{array}$$

with $j \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$.

An ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathscr{C}$ is called a *(special) precovering ideal* of $\mathscr{C}$ if any object in $\mathscr{C}$ admits an (a special) $\mathcal{I}$-precover. Dually, the notions of a *(special) $\mathcal{I}$-preenvelope* and a *(special) preenveloping ideal* are defined.

In what follows, we always assume that the triple $(\mathscr{C},\mathbb{E},\mathfrak{s})$ satisfies (ET1) and (ET2).

Proposition 3.6. *Every special $\mathcal{I}$-precover is an $\mathcal{I}$-precover.*

Proof. Let $C \in \mathscr{C}$, and $i : X \to C$ is a special $\mathcal{I}$-precover of C. Then there exists a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ {\scriptstyle j}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\ A' & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{i} & C & \overset{j_\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \end{array}$$

with $j \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. Now for any $i' : X' \to C$ in $\mathcal{I}$, there exists a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A' & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & X' & \overset{i'^\star j_\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \| & & {\scriptstyle k}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle i'}\downarrow & & \\ A' & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{i} & C & \overset{j_\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & . \end{array}$$

Since $i' \in \mathcal{I}$ and $j \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$, we have $i'^\star j_\star\delta = 0$. So the sequence $A' \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow X'$ splits and there exists $g : X' \to Y$ such that $i' = ikg$. It follows that $i : X \to C$ is an $\mathcal{I}$-precover of C. □

Definition 3.7. An $\mathbb{E}$-orthogonal pair $(\mathcal{I},\mathcal{J})$ of ideals of $\mathscr{C}$ is called an $\mathbb{E}$-*cotorsion pair* if $\mathcal{I} = {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$.

The following result gives a sufficient condition such that an $\mathbb{E}$-orthogonal pair of ideals is an $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair.

Theorem 3.8. *If $\mathcal{I}$ is a special precovering ideal, then the pair $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}})$ of ideals is an $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair.*

Proof. Clearly, $\mathcal{I} \subseteq {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}})$. Now let $i' \in {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}})$ with $i' : X' \to C$. For the object C, take a special $\mathcal{I}$-precover $i : X \to C$. Then there exists a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ {\scriptstyle j}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\ A' & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{i} & C & \overset{j_\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \end{array}$$

with $j \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. Furthermore, assume that $\mathfrak{s}(i'^\star j_\star \delta) = [\, A' \xrightarrow{x} Y \xrightarrow{y} X' \,]$. Then we also have a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A' & \xrightarrow{x} & Y & \xrightarrow{y} & X' & \overset{i'^\star j_\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \| & & {\scriptstyle k}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle i'}\downarrow & & \\ A' & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{i} & C & \overset{j_\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & . \end{array}$$

Since $i' \in {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}})$ and $j \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$, we have $i'^\star j_\star \delta = 0$, and hence there exists $y' : X' \to Y$ such that $i' = i(ky')$. Thus we have that $i' \in \mathcal{I}$ and ${}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$. Therefore $\mathcal{I} = {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}})$ and $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}})$ is an $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair. □

Corollary 3.9. *If $\mathcal{I}$ is a special precovering ideal, then $\mathcal{I} = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^\star)$.*

Proof. By definition, we have $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^\star)$. Now let $\varphi \in \mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^\star)$, that is, φ is an $\mathcal{I}^\star$-phantom morphism. Then for any $\mathbb{E}$-extension δ, we have that $\varphi^\star\delta$ is an $\mathcal{I}^\star$-extension. Let $j \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. Since $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}} = \mathcal{I}^\star$-**inj** by Proposition 3.4(2), we have $j \in \mathcal{I}^\star$-**inj**. So $\varphi^\star j_\star \delta = j_\star \varphi^\star \delta = 0$ and $\varphi \in {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}})$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{I} = {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}})$ by Theorem 3.8. So $\varphi \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^\star) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$. □

From Proposition 3.4(1), we have known that $(\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F}), \mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$ is an $\mathbb{E}$-orthogonal pair. In the rest of this section, we mainly study when it is an $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair. To do it, we first introduce the following

Definition 3.10.

(1) An additive subfunctor $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{E}$ is said to *have enough injective morphisms* if for any $A \in \mathscr{C}$, there exists an $\mathbb{F}$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{e} B \longrightarrow C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$, where e is an $\mathbb{F}$-injective morphism.

(2) The additive subfunctor $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{E}$ is said to *have enough special injective morphisms* if for any $A \in \mathscr{C}$, there exists an $\mathbb{F}$-triangle as above together with a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{e} & B & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \| & & \downarrow & & {\scriptstyle \varphi}\downarrow & & \\ A & \longrightarrow & B' & \xrightarrow{i} & C' & \overset{\delta'}{\dashrightarrow} & \end{array}$$

with φ an $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphism.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be a triple satisfying (ET1), (ET2) and (ET3). If an $\mathbb{F}$-inflation $x : A \to B$ factors through an $\mathbb{E}$-inflation $g : A \to Y$, then g is an $\mathbb{F}$-inflation.*

Proof. Since $x : A \to B$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-inflation, there exists an $\mathbb{F}$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{x} B \longrightarrow C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$; since $g : A \to Y$ is an $\mathbb{E}$-inflation, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{g} Y \longrightarrow Z \overset{\delta'}{\dashrightarrow}$ together with the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{g} & Y & \longrightarrow & Z \overset{\delta'}{\dashrightarrow} \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \longrightarrow & C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}. \end{array}$$

By (ET3), we get a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{g} & Y & \longrightarrow & Z \overset{\delta'}{\dashrightarrow} \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ A & \xrightarrow{x} & B & \longrightarrow & C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}. \end{array}$$

In particular, we have $\delta' = h^\star\delta$. So δ' is an $\mathbb{F}$-extension and g is an $\mathbb{F}$-inflation. □

Proposition 3.12. *Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be a triple satisfying (ET1), (ET2) and (ET3). If $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ is an additive subfunctor having enough injective morphisms, then $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F}) = {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.4(1), we have $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F}) \subseteq {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$.

Now let $f : X \to C \in {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$, and let $A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ be any $\mathbb{E}$-triangle. Then we have a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{i} & B' & \longrightarrow & X \overset{f^\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}. \end{array}$$

For the object A, by assumption there exists an $\mathbb{F}$-injective $\mathbb{F}$-inflation $e : A \to Y$. Consider the following morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{i} & B' & \longrightarrow & X \overset{f^\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} \\ \downarrow e & & \downarrow g & & \| \\ Y & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & X \overset{e_\star f^\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow}. \end{array}$$

Since $e_\star f^\star\delta = f^\star e_\star\delta = 0$, that is, the $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow X \overset{e_\star f^\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ splits, there exists $h : Z \to Y$ such that $e = (hg)i$. By Lemma 3.2, i is also an $\mathbb{F}$-inflation. So each $A \xrightarrow{i} B' \longrightarrow X \overset{f^\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ induced by any $\mathbb{E}$-triangle along f is an $\mathbb{F}$-triangle, which implies that f is an $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphism. Thus ${}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}) \subseteq \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$, and therefore $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F}) = {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$. □

Note that a morphism $e : A \to X$ in $\mathcal{I}$ is called a *special $\mathcal{I}$-preenvelope* of A if there exists a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{e} & X & \longrightarrow & Y & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j} & & \\ A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\delta'}{\dashrightarrow} & \end{array}$$

with $j \in {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}\mathcal{I}$.

Now if $\mathbb{F}$ has enough special injective morphisms, then for any $A \in \mathscr{C}$, there exists an $\mathbb{F}$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{e} X \longrightarrow Y \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ together with a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{e} & X & \longrightarrow & Y & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j} & & \\ A & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{i} & C' & \overset{\delta'}{\dashrightarrow} & \end{array}$$

with $e \in \mathbb{F}$-**inj** and $j \in \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$. By Proposition 3.12, we have $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F}) = {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$. So $j \in {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$ and e is a special $\mathbb{F}$-injective preenvelope of A. This shows that $\mathbb{F}$-**inj** is a special preenveloping ideal.

As a dual of Theorem 3.8, we have the following

Theorem 3.13. *If $\mathcal{J}$ is a special preenveloping ideal of $\mathscr{C}$, then the orthogonal pair $({}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J})$ of ideals is an $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair.*

Note that if $\mathbb{F}$ has enough special injective morphisms, then $({}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}), \mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$ is an $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair of ideals by Theorem 3.13. Because $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F}) = {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$ by Proposition 3.12, we get the following

Corollary 3.14. *Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be a triple satisfying (ET1), (ET2) and (ET3). If $\mathbb{F}$ has enough special injective morphisms, then $(\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F}), \mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$ is an $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair of ideals; in particular, $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}} = \mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}$.*

4 The interplay between phantom ideals and cotorsion pairs

From the previous section, we know that a special precovering ideal corresponds an $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair, and that a phantom ideal induced by a subfunctor also corresponds ones under a suitable assumption. In this section, we will investigate their interplay by showing that a phantom ideal induced by a subfunctor is a special precovering ideal under some suitable assumption, and vice versa. Before doing it, we first give the following lemma, which simplifies the calculation process for checking phantom morphisms.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category. Consider an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $K \longrightarrow P \xrightarrow{p} C \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow}$ with p an $\mathbb{E}$-projective morphism and a morphism $\varphi : X \to C$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) φ is an $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphism.

(2) The induced $\mathbb{E}$*-triangle* $K \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow X \overset{\varphi^\star\gamma}{\dashrightarrow}$ *is an* $\mathbb{F}$*-triangle.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) It is trivial.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $A \longrightarrow B \overset{y}{\longrightarrow} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ be any $\mathbb{E}$-triangle. By [9, Proposition 3.15], we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & K & = & K & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
A & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & P & \overset{\delta'}{\dashrightarrow} & \\
\| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \\
A & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\
 & & \vdots{\scriptstyle \gamma'} & & \vdots{\scriptstyle \gamma} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & &
\end{array}$$

in $\mathscr{C}$ with $\delta' = p^\star\delta$ and $\gamma' = y^\star\gamma$. Since p is an $\mathbb{E}$-projective morphism, we have $\delta' = p^\star\delta = 0$, and hence the middle row splits. Then there exists $g : P \to B$ such that $p = yg$, that is, the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
K & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{p} & C & \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \| & & \\
A & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} &
\end{array}$$

is commutative. By $(\mathrm{ET3})^{\mathrm{op}}$, there exists a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
K & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{p} & C & \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \| & & \\
A & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{y} & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & .
\end{array}$$

In particular, we have $\delta = f_\star\gamma$. Thus $\varphi^\star\delta = \varphi^\star f_\star\gamma = f_\star\varphi^\star\gamma$. By assumption, $\varphi^\star\gamma$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-extension, and hence $\varphi^\star\delta$ is also an $\mathbb{F}$-extension, which shows that $\varphi : X \to C$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphism. □

Now we show that, under a suitable assumption, phantom ideals induced by additive subfunctors having enough injective morphisms are special precovering ideals.

Theorem 4.2. *Let* $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ *be an extriangulated category with enough projective morphisms, and assume that* $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ *is an additive subfunctor having enough injective morphisms. Then* $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$ *is a special precovering ideal.*

Proof. Let $C \in \mathscr{C}$. Then by assumption, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $K \longrightarrow P \xrightarrow{p} C \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow}$ with p an $\mathbb{E}$-projective morphism. For the object K, there exists an $\mathbb{F}$-injective $\mathbb{F}$-inflation $e : K \to X$. Then we get a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
K & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{p} & C & \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle e} & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\
X & \longrightarrow & Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & C & \overset{e_\star\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & .
\end{array}$$

In the following, we argue that φ is a special $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$-precover of C.

First of all, by Proposition 3.4(1), we have that $\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj} \subseteq \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ and $e \in \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. Moreover, consider the following diagram of morphisms of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
K & \xrightarrow{i} & Z & \longrightarrow & Y & \overset{\varphi^\star\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & \\
\| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \\
K & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & \\
{\scriptstyle e}\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\
X & \longrightarrow & Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & C & \overset{e_\star\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} &
\end{array}.$$

Since $\mathrm{id}_C\,\varphi = \varphi = \varphi\,\mathrm{id}_Y$, there exists $z : Z \to C$ such that $e = e\,\mathrm{id}_K = zi$ by [9, Corollary 3.5]. Since e is an $\mathbb{F}$-inflation, i is also an $\mathbb{F}$-inflation by Lemma 3.2, and hence $\varphi^\star\gamma$ is an $\mathbb{F}$-extension. By Lemma 4.1, φ is an $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphism and it is a special $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$-precover of C.

Therefore we conclude that $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$ is a special precovering ideal. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a class of morphisms in $\mathscr{C}$. Consider a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
A & \xrightarrow{a} & B & \xrightarrow{b} & E & \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & \\
{\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle h}\downarrow & & \\
X & \xrightarrow{x} & Y & \xrightarrow{y} & Z & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} &
\end{array}.$$

If $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ and E is an injective object, then $g \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ is an ideal and $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$, we have $ga = xf \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. Thus for any $m \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $m^\star g_\star a_\star = m^\star(ga)_\star = 0$. On one hand, by Lemma 2.10 we have that an object $E \in \mathscr{C}$ is injective if and only if $\mathbb{E}(C, E) = 0$ for any $C \in \mathscr{C}$. On the other hand, by [9, Corollary 3.12], there exists an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{E}(C, A) \xrightarrow{a_\star} \mathbb{E}(C, B) \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}(C, E)\ .$$

Thus $a_\star$ is epic and $m^\star g_\star = 0$, which shows that $g \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category with enough injective objects. If $\mathcal{I}$ is a special precovering ideal, then $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ is a special preenveloping ideal.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathscr{C}$ and $A \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{c} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ be an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle with E an injective object. For the object C, there exists a special $\mathcal{I}$-precover $x : X \to C$. Then we have a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
A & \xrightarrow{a} & B & \longrightarrow & X & \overset{x^\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\
\| & & \downarrow & & {\scriptstyle x}\downarrow & & \\
A & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{c} & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} &
\end{array}.$$

In the following, we argue that a is a special $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$-preenvelope of A.

Since $x \in \mathcal{I} \subseteq {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}})$, it suffices to show that $a \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. Assume that the special $\mathcal{I}$-precover $x : X \to C$ comes from the following morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Y & \xrightarrow{y} & Z & \xrightarrow{z} & C & \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle h}\downarrow & & \| & & \\ W & \xrightarrow{w} & X & \xrightarrow{x} & C & \overset{g_\star\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & \end{array}$$

with $g \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. Consider the following commutative diagram



By Lemma 4.3 and the vertical plane in the middle of the above diagram, we have $k \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$, and hence $a = ke' \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$, as desired. □

Following the above theorem and its dual, we get a morphism version of the Salce's lemma as follows.

Salce's Lemma. Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category with enough projective and injective objects. If $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is an $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair of ideals, then $\mathcal{I}$ is a special precovering ideal if and only if $\mathcal{J}$ is a special preenveloping ideal.

Now we give our main result as follows. Here, an $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ of ideals is called *complete* if $\mathcal{I}$ is a special precovering ideal and $\mathcal{J}$ is a special preenveloping ideal.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category. Then we have the following impli-*

cations.


There exists an additive subfunctor $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ having enough (special) injective morphisms and $\mathcal{I} = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$
(I)
$\mathscr{C}$ has enough projective morphisms
$\mathcal{I}$ is a special precovering ideal
(IV)
(II)
$\mathscr{C}$ has enough injective objects
The additive subfunctor $\mathcal{I}^\star \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ having enough special injective morphisms and $\mathcal{I} = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^\star)$
(III)
$(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}})$ is a complete $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair

Proof. (I) It follows directly from Theorem 4.2.

(II) Since $\mathcal{I}$ is a special precovering ideal, $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}})$ is an $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair by Theorem 3.8. Moreover, since $\mathscr{C}$ has enough injective objects, $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ is a special preenveloping ideal by Theorem 4.4. Thus $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}})$ is a complete $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair.

(III) First, since $\mathcal{I}$ is a special precovering ideal, we have $\mathcal{I} = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^\star)$ by Corollary 3.9. Moreover, we have $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}} = \mathcal{I}^\star\text{-}\mathbf{inj}$ by Proposition 3.4(2). So by assumption, any object in $\mathscr{C}$ admits a special $\mathcal{I}^\star$-injective preenvelope, that is, for any $A \in \mathscr{C}$, there exists an $\mathcal{I}^\star$-injective morphism $e : A \to X$ that comes from a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{e} & X & \longrightarrow & Y & \overset{j^\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j} & & \\ A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \end{array}$$

with $j \in {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathcal{I}^\star\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$. This, on the other hand, shows that $\mathcal{I}^\star$ has enough injective morphisms. So by Proposition 3.12, we have that ${}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathcal{I}^\star\text{-}\mathbf{inj}) = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^\star)$ and $j \in \mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^\star)$. It follows that $\mathcal{I}^\star$ has enough special injective morphisms.

(IV) It is trivial. □

By Theorem 4.5, we have that if $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category with enough injective objects and projective morphisms, then we get the following bijective correspondence.

$$(\bigstar) \qquad \boxed{\text{all special precovering ideals of } \mathscr{C}} \underset{\mathbf{Ph}(-)}{\overset{(-)^\star}{\rightleftarrows}} \boxed{\text{all additive subfunctors of } \mathbb{E} \text{ having enough special injective morphisms}}$$

Combining it with the Salce's lemma, we further get the following

Theorem 4.6. *Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category with enough injective objects and projective objects. Then we have the following implications for an $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ of*

ideals.


There exists an additive subfunctor $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ having enough special injective morphisms and $\mathcal{I} = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$
There exists an additive subfunctor $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ having enough special projective morphisms and $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{F}$-**proj**
The ideal $\mathcal{I}$ is a special precovering ideal
The additive subfunctor $\mathcal{I}^\star \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ having enough special injective morphisms and $\mathcal{I} = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^\star)$
The additive subfunctor $\mathcal{J}_\star \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ having enough special projective morphisms and $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}_\star$-**proj**
$(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ is a complete $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair
There exists an additive subfunctor $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ having enough special projective morphisms and $\mathcal{J} = \mathbf{Coph}(\mathbb{F})$
There exists an additive subfunctor $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ having enough special injective morphisms and $\mathcal{J} = \mathbb{F}$-**inj**
The ideal $\mathcal{J}$ is a special preenveloping ideal
The additive subfunctor $\mathcal{J}_\star \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ having enough special projective morphisms and $\mathcal{J} = \mathbf{Coph}(\mathcal{J}_\star)$
The additive subfunctor $\mathcal{I}^\star \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ having enough special injective morphisms and $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}^\star$-**inj**

The above theorem shows that if $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category with enough injective objects and projective objects, then we have the following bijective correspondences.

($\bigstar\bigstar$)



Here $F = (-)^\star \circ {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(-) \circ \mathbf{Coph}(-)$ and $G = (-)_\star \circ (-)^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}} \circ \mathbf{Ph}(-)$.

We end this section with some applications of the obtained results above.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category with enough injective objects and projective morphisms. If an additive subfunctor $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ has enough injective morphisms, then we have*

(1) The pair $({}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}), ({}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}))^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}})$ *of ideals generated by* $\mathbb{F}$**-inj** *is a complete* $\mathbb{E}$*-cotorsion pair of ideals.*

(2) $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}} = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\star}$**-inj**, *and* $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ *is the minimal ideal containing* $\mathbb{F}$**-inj** *and satisfying the following property (C): Let* $\mathcal{I}$ *be an ideal and consider a morphism of* $\mathbb{E}$*-triangles*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{a} & B & \xrightarrow{b} & E & \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{x} & Y & \xrightarrow{y} & Z & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & . \end{array}$$

If $f \in \mathcal{I}$ *and* E *is an injective object, then* $g \in \mathcal{I}$.

(3) The additive subfunctor $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\star} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ *is the maximal additive subfunctor of* $\mathbb{F}$ *having enough special injective morphisms.*

Proof. (1) By Proposition 3.12, we have ${}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}) = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$. By Theorem 4.2, $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$ is a special precovering ideal. Moreover, by Theorem 4.4, $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}} = ({}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}))^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ is a special preenveloping ideal. Thus $({}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}), ({}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}))^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}})$ is a complete $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair of ideals.

(2) By Proposition 3.4, $\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj} \subseteq \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}} = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\star}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}$. By Lemma 4.3, $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ satisfies the property (C). Now let $\mathcal{J}$ be an ideal of $\mathscr{C}$ containing $\mathbb{F}$-**inj** and satisfying the property (C). We will show that $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. To do it, let $j \in \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ with $j : A \to J$. Consider the same commutative diagram as in the proof of Theorem 4.4. Since $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ has enough injective morphisms, we can adjust the morphism $g : Y \to W$ to be in $\mathbb{F}$-**inj**. By the property (C), we have that $k \in \mathcal{J}$ and $a = ke' \in \mathcal{J}$. Moreover, by Theorem 4.4, the morphism $a : A \to B$ is a $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$-preenvelope of A, it factors through j, that is, there exists $b : B \to J$ such that $j = ba$, and thus $j \in \mathcal{J}$, as desired.

(3) Clearly, $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\star} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$. Now since $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$ is a special precovering ideal by Theorem 4.2, $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\star}$ is an additive subfunctor having enough special injective morphisms by the correspondence (★). Suppose that $\mathbb{F}' \subseteq \mathbb{F}$ is an additive subfunctor having enough special injective morphisms. To show $\mathbb{F}' \subseteq \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\star}$, it suffices to show that every $\mathbb{F}'$-triangle $A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ is a $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\star}$-triangle.

Let $e : A \to X$ be a special $\mathbb{F}'$-injective $\mathbb{F}'$-inflation. Then we have a morphism of $\mathbb{F}'$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{a} & B & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle e} & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{e_{\star}\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & . \end{array}$$

Since e is $\mathbb{F}'$-injective, we have that $e_{\star}\delta = 0$ and there exists $b : B \to X$ such that $e = ba$. This also induces the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{a} & B & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} & & & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{e} & X & \longrightarrow & Z & \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & . \end{array}$$

By (ET3), we get a morphism of $\mathbb{F}'$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{a} & B & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c} & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{e} & X & \longrightarrow & Z & \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & . \end{array}$$

On the other hand, since $e : A \to X$ is a special $\mathbb{F}'$-injective $\mathbb{F}'$-inflation, by definition there exists a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{e} & X & \longrightarrow & Z & \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j} & & \\ A & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & Z' & \overset{\gamma'}{\dashrightarrow} & \end{array}$$

with $j \in \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F}') \subseteq \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$. Thus we get a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{a} & B & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle jc} & & \\ A & \longrightarrow & X' & \longrightarrow & Z' & \overset{\gamma'}{\dashrightarrow} & \end{array}$$

with $jc \in \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$. This shows that $\delta = (jc)^\star\gamma' \in \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^\star$, as desired. □

Corollary 4.8. *Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category with enough injective objects and projective morphisms. If $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ is an additive subfunctor having enough injective morphisms, then the following statement are equivalent.*

(1) The subfunctor $\mathbb{F}$ has enough special injective morphisms.

(2) $\mathbb{F} = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^\star$.

*(3) $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}} = \mathbb{F}$-**inj**.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) It follows from Theorem 4.7(3).

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) By Proposition 3.4(2).

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) By Theorem 4.2, $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$ is a special precovering ideal. By Theorem 4.5(II), $(\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F}), \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}) = (\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F}), \mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$ is a complete $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair, and hence $\mathbb{F}$-**inj** is a special preenveloping ideal, that is, for any $A \in \mathscr{C}$, there exists a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{e} & B & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle j} & & \\ A & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & Z & \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & \end{array}$$

with $e \in \mathbb{F}$-**inj** and $j \in {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$. Moreover, since $(\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F}), \mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$ is an $\mathbb{E}$-cotorsion pair, we have that ${}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}) = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$ and $j \in \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$. Thus $\mathbb{F}$ has enough special injective morphisms, as desired. □

5 The correspondences for object ideals

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a class of morphisms in $\mathscr{C}$. We write $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{I}) := \{A \in \mathscr{C} \mid \mathrm{id}_A \in \mathcal{I}\}$, and denote by $< \mathcal{I} >$ the smallest ideal of $\mathscr{C}$ containing $\mathcal{I}$. If $\mathcal{I} =< \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{I}) >$, then we call $\mathcal{I}$ an *object ideal*, that is, it is generated by itself objects. An object $A \in \mathscr{C}$ is called $\mathbb{F}$-*injective* if $\mathrm{id}_A \in \mathbb{F}$-**inj**. It is easy to check that an object $A \in \mathscr{C}$ is $\mathbb{F}$-injective if and only if it is injective with respect to all $\mathbb{F}$-triangles.

Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category with enough projective morphisms and $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ an additive subfunctor having enough injective objects. Then for any $C \in \mathscr{C}$, there is an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $K \longrightarrow P \xrightarrow{p} C \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow}$ with p an $\mathbb{E}$-projective morphism. For the object K, by assumption there exists an $\mathbb{F}$-inflation $e : K \to E$ with E an $\mathbb{F}$-injective object. Then we get a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{p} & C & \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle e} & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\ E & \longrightarrow & Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & C & \overset{e_\star\gamma}{\dashrightarrow} & . \end{array}$$

Since E is an $\mathbb{F}$-injective object, that is, $\mathrm{id}_E \in \mathbb{F}$-**inj**, we have $e = \mathrm{id}_E\, e \in \mathbb{F}$-**inj**. Thus as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, the morphism φ is an $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphism. Therefore, for any $C \in \mathscr{C}$, there always exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $E \longrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\varphi} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ with φ an $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphism and E an $\mathbb{F}$-injective object. Moreover, since $\mathbb{F}$-**inj** $\subseteq$ $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$, the object E is also in $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. This allows us to give the following definition.

Definition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal of $\mathscr{C}$. We call a morphism $i : X \to C$ in $\mathcal{I}$ an *object-special* $\mathcal{I}$-*precover* of C if there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $A \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{i} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ with $A \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$.

By a trivial morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \| & & \| & & \| & & \\ A & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{i} & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & , \end{array}$$

we have that any object-special $\mathcal{I}$-precover is a special $\mathcal{I}$-precover. In the following, we give a sufficient condition such that a special precovering ideal $\mathcal{I}$ is an object-special precovering ideal, that is, any object in $\mathscr{C}$ admits an object-special $\mathcal{I}$-precover.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a special precovering ideal. If $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ is an object ideal, then $\mathcal{I}$ is an object-special precovering ideal.*

Proof. Let $C \in \mathscr{C}$, and take a special $\mathcal{I}$-precover $i' : X' \to C$ which comes from a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle j} & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\ A' & \longrightarrow & X' & \xrightarrow{i'} & C & \overset{j_\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \end{array}$$

with $j \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. Since $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ is an object ideal by assumption, there exist $Y \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ and morphisms $j_1 : A \to Y$, $j_2 : Y \to A'$ such that $j = j_2 j_1$. Then by the equality $j_\star\delta = j_{2\star}j_{1\star}\delta$, we can decompose the above morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles to the following morphisms of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle j_1} & & \downarrow & & \| & & \\
Y & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{i} & C & \overset{j_{1\star}\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle j_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle k} & & \| & & \\
A' & \longrightarrow & X' & \xrightarrow{i'} & C & \overset{j_\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} &
\end{array}$$

with $i = i'k \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus i is an object-special $\mathcal{I}$-precover of C. □

In view of Proposition 5.2, it is natural to ask when the right perpendicularity of a special precovering ideal is an object ideal. To study it, we consider the following

(J) Let $\mathcal{I}$ be an ideal of $\mathscr{C}$. There exists an object ideal $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ such that any $C \in \mathscr{C}$ admits an $\mathcal{I}$-precover $i : X \to C$ together with an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $A \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{i} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$, where $A \in \mathcal{J}$.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ be two classes of objects in $\mathscr{C}$. We write

$$\mathcal{X} \diamond \mathcal{Y} := \{Z \in \mathscr{C} \mid \text{ there exists an } \mathbb{E}\text{-triangle } X \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow Y \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} \text{ with } X \in \mathcal{X} \text{ and } Y \in \mathcal{Y}\}.$$

Proposition 5.3. *Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category with enough injective objects and $\mathcal{I}$ be a special precovering ideal of $\mathscr{C}$. The condition (J) is satisfied if and only if $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ is an object ideal; in this case, we have*

$$\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}} = < \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{J}) \diamond \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{E}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}) > .$$

Proof. The sufficiency is trivial. In the following, we prove the necessity.

Let $Z \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{J}) \diamond \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{E}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$, that is, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $X \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow Y \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ with $X \in \mathcal{J}$ and Y an $\mathbb{E}$-injective object. By assumption, we have $X \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$, and hence $Z \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ by Lemma 4.3. This shows that $< \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{J}) \diamond \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{E}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}) > \subseteq \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$.

Conversely, let $A \in \mathscr{C}$. Then there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $A \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{e} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ with E an injective object by assumption. For the object C, by (J) there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $K \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{i} C \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow}$ with $K \in \mathcal{J}$ and $i : X \to C$ an $\mathcal{I}$-precover of C. By (ET4), we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & K & = & K & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
A & \xrightarrow{a} & Z & \longrightarrow & X & \overset{i^\star\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\
\| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i} & & \\
A & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{e} & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\
 & & {\scriptstyle e^\star\gamma}\vdots & & {\scriptstyle \gamma}\vdots & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & &
\end{array}$$

By the middle column in the above diagram, we have that $Z \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{J}) \diamond \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{E}\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$. On the other hand, as in the proof of Theorem 4.4, the morphism $a : A \to Z$ is a special $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$-preenvelope of A; in particular, it is an $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$-preenvelope of A. Thus any $f \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(A, B)$ factors through a, that is, there exists $b : Z \to B$ such that $f = ba$, which shows that $f \in < \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{J}) \diamond \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{E}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}) >$. Thus $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}} \subseteq < \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{J}) \diamond \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{E}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}) >$, and therefore $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}} = < \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{J}) \diamond \mathrm{Ob}(\mathbb{E}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}) >$; in particular, $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ is an object ideal. □

By Propositions 5.2 and 5.3, we immediately have the following

Corollary 5.4. *Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category with enough injective objects. If the property (J) is satisfied, then any special precovering ideal of $\mathscr{C}$ is an object-special precovering ideal.*

The additive subfunctor $\mathbb{F}$ of $\mathbb{E}$ is said to *have enough special injective objects* if for any $A \in \mathscr{C}$, there exists an $\mathbb{F}$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{e} B \longrightarrow C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ with $B \in \mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj}$, together with a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{e} & B & \longrightarrow & C & \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow} & \\ \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \\ A & \longrightarrow & B' & \xrightarrow{i} & C' & \overset{\delta'}{\dashrightarrow} & , \end{array}$$

where φ is an $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphism.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ be an extriangulated category. Then we have the following implications.*


There exists an additive subfunctor $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ having enough (special) injective objects and $\mathcal{I} = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$
(I)
$\mathscr{C}$ has enough projective morphisms
$\mathcal{I}$ is an object-special precovering ideal
(II)
$\mathscr{C}$ has enough injective objects
(V)
(IV)
The additive subfunctor $\mathcal{I}^{\star} \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ having enough special injective objects and $\mathcal{I} = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^{\star})$
(III)
$\mathscr{C}$ has enough projective morphisms
$\mathcal{I}$ is a special precovering ideal and $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ is an object ideal

Proof. (I) For any $C \in \mathscr{C}$, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $E \longrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\varphi} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ with φ an $\mathbb{F}$-phantom morphism and E an $\mathbb{F}$-injective object. Because $\mathcal{I} = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})$ and $\mathbb{F}\text{-}\mathbf{inj} \subseteq \mathbf{Ph}(\mathbb{F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$, we have that φ is an object-special $\mathcal{I}$-precover of A. Thus $\mathcal{I}$ is an object-special precovering ideal.

(II) Since $\mathcal{I}$ is an object-special precovering ideal, it is clearly an special precovering ideal, and hence $\mathcal{I} = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^{\star})$ by Corollary 3.9. Let $A \in \mathscr{C}$, by assumption there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $A \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{e} C \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ with E an injective object. For the object C, since $\mathcal{I}$ is an object-special precovering ideal, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $K \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{i} C \overset{\gamma}{\dashrightarrow}$ with $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $K \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. By

(ET4), we get the following commutative diagram



The middle row in the above diagram is an $\mathcal{I}^\star$-triangle. Moreover, since $K \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ and E is an injective object, we have $Z \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ by Lemma 4.3. By Proposition 3.4, we have $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}} = \mathcal{I}^\star$-**inj**. Thus $Z \in \mathcal{I}^\star$-**inj**. Since $i \in \mathcal{I} = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^\star)$, the above diagram shows that $\mathcal{I}^\star$ has enough special injective objects.

(III) Assume that $\mathcal{I}^\star$ has enough special injective objects. Of course, $\mathcal{I}^\star$ has enough injective morphisms, and then by Theorem 4.2, $\mathcal{I} = \mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^\star)$ is a special precovering ideal. By assumption, for any $A \in \mathscr{C}$, there exists an $\mathbb{E}$-triangle $A \xrightarrow{e} E \longrightarrow X \overset{\delta}{\dashrightarrow}$ with E an $\mathcal{I}^\star$-injective object, together with a morphism of $\mathbb{E}$-triangles



with $\varphi \in \mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^\star)$. By Proposition 3.12, we have $\mathbf{Ph}(\mathcal{I}^\star) = {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathcal{I}^\star\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$, and hence $\varphi \in {}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}(\mathcal{I}^\star\text{-}\mathbf{inj})$, which shows that e is a special $\mathcal{I}^\star$-injective preenvelope of A.

By Proposition 3.4(2), $\mathcal{I}^\star$-**inj** $= \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$. So for any $a : A \to A' \in \mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$, there exists $e' : E \to A'$ such that $a = e'e$. This means that each morphism in $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ factors through an $\mathcal{I}^\star$-injective object, and therefore $\mathcal{I}^{\perp_{\mathbb{E}}}$ is an object ideal.

(IV) By Proposition 5.2.

(V) It is trivial. □

The above theorem shows that if $(\mathscr{C}, \mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{s})$ is an extriangulated category with enough injective objects and projective morphisms, then we have the following bijective correspondence.

$$(\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar) \qquad \boxed{\begin{array}{c}\text{all object-special}\\ \text{precovering ideals of } \mathscr{C}\end{array}} \underset{\mathbf{Ph}(-)}{\overset{(-)^\star}{\rightleftarrows}} \boxed{\begin{array}{c}\text{all additive subfunctors of } \mathbb{E} \text{ having}\\ \text{enough special injective objects}\end{array}}$$

Note that ($\bigstar\bigstar$) follows from ($\bigstar$) and the morphism version of the Salce's lemma. Now, in view of ($\bigstar\bigstar\bigstar$), it is natural to pose the following

Question 5.6. *Does the Salce's lemma hold for object-special precovering ideals and object-special preenveloping ideals?*

Acknowledgements. This research was partially supported by NSFC (Grant No. 11571164) and a Project Funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

References

[1] J. F. Adams and G. Walker, *An Example in homotopy theory*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **60** (1964), 699–700.

[2] D. Benson, *Phantom maps and modular representation theory III*, J. Algebra **248** (2002), 747–754.

[3] D. Benson and Ph. G. Gnacadja, *Phantom maps and modular representation theory I*, Fund. Math. **161** (1999), 37–91.

[4] D. Benson and Ph. G. Gnacadja, *Phantom maps and modular representation theory II*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **4** (2001), 395–404.

[5] S. Breaz and G. C. Modoi, *Ideal cotorsion theories in triangulated categories*, Preprint, available at arXiv:1501.06810v2, 2015.

[6] X. H. Fu, P. A. Guil Asensio, I. Herzog and B. Torrecillas, *Ideal approximation theory*, Adv. Math. **244** (2013), 750–790.

[7] I. Herzog, *The phantom cover of a module*, Adv. Math. **215** (2007), 220–249.

[8] C. A. McGibbon, *Phantom maps*, in: Handbook of Algebraic Topology, edited by I. M. James, North-Holland, 1995, pp.1209–1257.

[9] H. Nakaoka and Y. Palu, *Mutation via Hovey twin cotorsion pairs and model structures in extriangulated categories*, Preprint, available at arXiv:1605.05607v2, 2016.

[10] A. Neeman, *The Brown representability theorem and phantomless triangulated categories*, J. Algebra **151** (1992), 118–155.

[11] P. Zhou and B. Zhu, *Triangulated quotient categories revisited*, Preprint, available at arXiv:1608.00297v2, 2016.